UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,467	09/29/2006	Stein Kuiper	GB040083	9406	
24737 7590 08/11/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		COLLINS, DARRYL J			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2873			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,467	KUIPER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DARRYL J. COLLINS	2873		

	DARRYL J. COLLINS	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	20.01.7,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. $oxedsymbol{\square}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	·		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3,5-10 and 13-25. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,11,12,24 and 27-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The arguments presented have been fully considered, but teach color correction, but Sigler, as outlined in the Final corrected for three opr more wavelengths further comprise	ut are not persuasive. The Applica Rejection mailed May 21, 2009, to	nt has argued that the eaches a lens system	prior art fails to that is color
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:note} 13. Other:			
	/Darryl J. Collins/		
	Primary Examiner		
	Art Unit: 2072		

Art Unit: 2873